

Resolution of the pion puzzle: the QCD string in Nambu-Goldstone mesons

Yu.A.Simonov

State Research Center

Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics,
Moscow, 117218 Russia

Abstract

Pions and kaons have double nature: the chiral dynamics of Nambu-Goldstone bosons together with the usual string dynamics common to all mesons. To uncover the interplay of both dynamics the effective chiral Lagrangian is derived from the QCD Lagrangian using the Field Correlator Method and the pion self-energy (mass) operator is written explicitly. The latter contains an infinite number of poles, but normalized to zero at zero momentum because of spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking. As a result one obtains the Gell-Mann-Oakes-Renner relation for the ground state pion and (slightly shifted by chiral dynamics) the usual spectrum of radially excited pions starting with $\pi(1300)$.

1 Introduction

The basic puzzle of the pion is that it is massless in the chiral limit as a Nambu-Goldstone (NG) boson, while it contains necessarily (because of confinement) a piece of the QCD string, weighting 1 GeV per 1 fm, i.e. around 0.6 GeV for its size. A naive quark model tells that pion differs from the ρ meson only by spin-spin interaction, and a natural mass estimate, neglecting the NG mechanism, would give $m_\pi \approx 0.4$ GeV. A similar consideration with some larger (by 200-300 MeV) resulting mass holds also for kaon. A question arises: what is the explicit cancellation mechanism which provides the vanishing pion mass (in the chiral limit).

To get a hint to the solution of the puzzle one may consider the NJL [1] or the instanton model [2], where the pion mass indeed vanishes in the chiral limit, while the constituent quark mass occurs due to the specific four-quark interaction.

However in both models confinement is absent and in a sense the puzzle stated in the title also disappears. One can reformulate the problem with the Nambu-Goldstone mechanism saying, that in NJL and instanton model the natural scale of meson mass is the twice the constituent mass, i.e. around 0.6 GeV, while the NG meson in the pion channel has zero mass. Indeed in both models one can use relations for the constituent mass to prove that the denominator of the pion Green's function vanishes at zero momentum.

The situation in QCD is more complicated, however. The four-quark interaction, basic for the spontaneous Chiral Symmetry Breaking (CSB), occurs in QCD due to confinement (i.e. due to the presence of specific gluon-field correlators) and is associated with the QCD string [3],[4]. Therefore all quarks and antiquarks are connected by the strings and the notion of a free quark with the constituent mass is not possible in QCD. Nevertheless one can do the bosonization of the four-quark term and as a result one obtains effective scalar and pseudoscalar fields from bilinear $q\bar{q}$ combinations [3].

The specific feature of the confining QCD phase is that the scalar field condensate is in the QCD string (scalar confining interaction in the effective operator), and defines quark mass operator $\hat{M}$.

The outcome of this approach is the quark-meson effective Lagrangian L_{QML} and the effective chiral Lagrangian L_{ECL} , containing only effective meson fields. In [3] these Lagrangians have been derived in the simplifying situation when light quarks are moving in the field of the heavy antiquark. Below we derive L_{QML} and L_{ECL} in a most general situation without heavy sources. Moreover we expand L_{ECL} in powers of pionic fields and demonstrate the vanishing of the pion mass in the chiral limit due to cancellation of two terms in the Green's function. For nonzero quark masses we recover the Gell-Mann-Oakes-Renner relation (GOR) for the pion mass.

To study the interrelation between the quark and chiral degrees of freedom, the total Greens function is written in the PS channel which contains both nonchiral meson poles (as obtained e.g. from the QCD string Hamiltonian [5], or quark potential models) and the pion chiral propagator poles. It is shown that due to the interplay between both types of poles the lowest $q\bar{q}$ nonchiral pole is replaced by the chiral pion pole, while all others are slightly shifted downwards ("the chiral shift") starting with the first radially

excited pion state. In this nontrivial and remarkable way one recovers the experimental spectrum in the whole pion channel.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section we derive L_{QML} and L_{ECL} following the procedure outlined in [3]. In section 3 the pion quadratic terms in L_{ECL} are discussed and GOR relations are derived. In section 4 the $q\bar{q}$ Green's function is written in the PS channel and its pole structure is investigated in detail and compared to the experimental spectrum of the pion and its radial excitations. In section 5 the situation in the pion channel is compared to the vector channel, while section 6 contains discussion and possible development of this approach.

2 Effective quark Lagrangian

As was discussed in the previous section, one can obtain effective quark Lagrangian by averaging over background gluonic fields. We shall repeat this procedure following [3, 4] now paying special attention to the case of light quarks. The QCD partition function for quarks and gluons can be written as

$$Z = \int DAD\psi D\psi^+ \exp[L_0 + L_1 + L_{\text{int}}] \quad (1)$$

where we are using Euclidean metric and define

$$L_0 = -\frac{1}{4} \int d^4x (F_{\mu\nu}^a)^2, \quad (2)$$

$$L_1 = -i \int {}^f\psi^+(x) (\hat{\partial} + m_f) {}^f\psi(x) d^4x, \quad (3)$$

$$L_{\text{int}} = \int {}^f\psi^+(x) g \hat{A}(x) {}^f\psi(x) d^4x. \quad (4)$$

Here and in what follows ${}^f\psi_{a\alpha}$ denotes quark operator with flavour f , color a and bispinor index α .

To express $A_\mu(x)$ through $F_{\mu\nu}$ one can use the generalized contour gauge [6] with the contour $C(x)$ from the point x to x_0 , which can also lie at infinity,

$$A_\mu(x) = \int_C F_{\lambda\beta}(z) \frac{\partial z_\beta(s, x)}{\partial x_\mu} \frac{\partial z_\lambda}{\partial s} ds. \quad (5)$$

Now one can integrate out gluonic field $A_\mu(x)$. One obtains

$$Z = \int D\psi D\psi^+ \exp\{L_1 + L_{\text{eff}}\} \quad (6)$$

where the effective quark Lagrangian L_{eff} is defined as

$$\exp L_{\text{eff}} = \langle \exp \int {}^f\psi^+ \hat{A} {}^f\psi d^4x \rangle_A. \quad (7)$$

Using cluster expansion L_{eff} can be written as an infinite sum containing averages $\langle (\hat{A})^k \rangle_A$. At this point one can exploit the Gaussian approximation, neglecting all correlators $\langle (\hat{A})^k \rangle$ for degrees higher than $k = 2$. Numerical accuracy of this approximation was discussed in [7] and tested in [8]. One expects that for static quarks corrections to Gaussian approximation amount to less than 1%.

The resulting effective Lagrangian is quartic in ψ ,

$$L_{\text{eff}}^{(4)} = \frac{1}{2N_c} \int d^4x d^4y {}^f\psi_{a\alpha}^+(x) {}^f\psi_{b\beta}(x) {}^g\psi_{b\gamma}^+(y) {}^g\psi_{a\delta}(y) J_{\alpha\beta;\gamma\delta}(x, y) + O(\psi^6), \quad (8)$$

$$J_{\alpha\beta;\gamma\delta}(x, y) = (\gamma_\mu)_{\alpha\beta} (\gamma_\nu)_{\gamma\delta} J_{\mu\nu}(x, y) \quad (9)$$

and $J_{\mu\nu}$ is expressed as

$$J_{\mu\nu}(x, y) = g^2 \int_C^x \frac{\partial u_\omega}{\partial x_\mu} du_\varepsilon \int_C^y \frac{\partial v_{\omega'}}{\partial y_\nu} dv_{\varepsilon'} \frac{\text{tr}}{N_c} \langle F_{\varepsilon\omega}(u) F_{\varepsilon'\omega'}(v) \rangle. \quad (10)$$

L_{eff} (8) is written in the contour gauge [6].

It can be identically rewritten in the gauge-invariant form if one substitutes parallel transporters $\Phi(x, x_0), \Phi(y, x_0)$ (identically equal to unity in this gauge) into (8) and (10), multiplying each $\psi(x)$ and $\psi(y)$ respectively and in (10) replacing $F(u)$ by $\Phi(x_0, u)F(u)\Phi(u, x_0)$ and similarly for $F(v)$.

After that L_{eff} becomes gauge-invariant, but in general contour-dependent, if one keeps only the quartic term (8), and neglects all higher terms. The final results of this paper do not depend on the choice of the contour (more discussion of the contour fixation is given in Appendix 1 of this paper).

Till this point we have made only one approximation –neglected all field correlators except the Gaussian one. Now one must do another approximation –assume large N_c expansion and keep the lowest term. As was shown in [4] this enables one to replace in (8) the colorless product

$${}^f\psi_b(x) {}^g\psi_b^+(y) = \text{tr} ({}^f\psi(x) \Phi(x, x_0) \Phi(x_0, y) {}^g\psi^+(y))$$

by the quark Green's function

$${}^f\psi_{b\beta}(x) {}^g\psi_{b\gamma}^+(y) \rightarrow \delta_{fg} N_c S_{\beta\gamma}(x, y) \quad (11)$$

and $L_{\text{eff}}^{(4)}$ assumes the form

$$L_{\text{eff}}^{(4)} = -i \int d^4x d^4y {}^f\psi_{a\alpha}^+(x) {}^fM_{\alpha\delta}(x, y) {}^f\psi_{a\delta}(y) \quad (12)$$

where the quark mass operator is

$${}^fM_{\alpha\delta}(x, y) = -i J_{\mu\nu}(x, y) (\gamma_\mu {}^fS(x, y) \gamma_\nu)_{\alpha\delta}. \quad (13)$$

From (6), (12) it is evident that fS satisfies the equation

$$(-i\hat{\partial}_x - im_f) {}^fS(x, y) - i \int {}^fM(x, z) d^4z {}^fS(z, y) = \delta^{(4)}(x - y). \quad (14)$$

Equations (13), (14) have been first derived in [4]. From (6) and (12) one can realize that at large N_c the $q\bar{q}$ and $3q$ dynamics is expressed through the quark mass operator (13), which should contain both confinement and CSB.

At this point it is necessary to stress the important difference of the equations (13), (14) from the standard Dyson-Schwinger equations. In contrast to the latter the mass operator fM and the quark Green's function S are not one-body operators, but contain the string in the kernel $J_{\mu\nu}(x, y)$. The string extends from the midpoint of (x, y) to the point x_0 (the distance $(x - y)$ is of the order of T_g - the gluon correlation length of the correlator $\langle F(u)F(v) \rangle$ in (10), which defines the width of the QCD string [9]). It is convenient to specialize the contour $C(x, x_0)$ of the contour gauge (5) in such a way that it passes from the point x to the trajectory of the center of mass of a gauge-invariant system, e.g. of the $\bar{q}q$ meson. Then the string in the mass operator of the quark M_q extends from x to the c.m. position of $(\bar{q}q)$, while the string in $M_{\bar{q}}$ extends from the position of antiquark to the c.m. position. In [3, 4] the antiquark was taken to be infinitely heavy, so that one could consider only one string in M_q .

Nonlinear equations (13), (14) have been studied in [4] and it was shown that there is a solution with the scalar confining interaction for ${}^fM(x, y)$, which implies CSB, and in [4] first estimates were given for the chiral condensate $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle$, $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle \sim -c\sigma^{3/2}$, $c = 0.5 \div 0.7$ depending on the nonlocality of the mass operator fM , however convergence of the series defining the constant c was rather slow.

To discuss the NG modes and the CSB effects in more detail, we shall use the bosonization method of [3] in our general setting. The only difference from [3] is that we are using the most general contour gauge (5) with the

contour prescription for the final gauge-invariant quantity (like Green's function), which ensures the minimal area worldsheet for the string and hence for the total action of the system.

Now instead of the large N_c substitution (11) one can do the bosonization of the 4q Lagrangian (8) in the same way as it was done in [3] for the heavy-light meson case. Leaving details to the Appendix 2, one can write the resulting quark-meson Lagrangian for $n_f = 2$ keeping only scalar-isoscalar and pionic effective fields as follows

$$L_{QML} = \int d^4x \int d^4y \{ {}^f\psi_{a\alpha}^+(x) [(i\hat{\partial} + im_f)_{\alpha\beta} \delta_{fg} \delta^{(4)}(x-y) + iM_{\alpha\beta}^{(fg)}(x, y)] {}^g\psi_{a\beta}(y) - [\chi^{(0,S)}(x, y) \chi^{(0,S)}(y, x) + \chi_a^{(1,PS)}(x, y) \chi_a^{(1,PS)}(y, x)] J(x, y) \} \quad (15)$$

where M is

$$M_{\alpha\beta}^{(fg)}(x, y) = (\chi^{(0,S)}(x, y) \delta_{a\beta} t_{fg}^{(0)} + \chi_a^{(1,PS)}(x, y) (i\gamma_5)_{\alpha\beta} t_{fg}^{(a)}) J(x, y) \quad (16)$$

It is convenient to use another parametrization of the pionic field replacing $\chi^{(0,S)}$ and $\chi_a^{(1,PS)}$ by M_S and ϕ_a

$$M_{\alpha\beta}^{(fg)}(x, y) = M_S(x, y) \exp(i\gamma_5 t^a \phi_a(x, y))_{\alpha\beta}^{(fg)} \equiv M_S(x, y) \hat{U}(x, y). \quad (17)$$

Then (15) can be rewritten as

$$L_{QML} = \int d^4x \int d^4y \{ {}^f\psi_{a\alpha}^+(x) [(i\hat{\partial} + im_f)_{\alpha\beta} \delta^{(4)}(x-y) \delta_{fg} + iM_S \hat{U}_{\alpha\beta}^{(fg)}(x, y)] {}^g\psi_{a\beta}(y) - 2n_f [J(x, y)]^{-1} M_S^2(x, y) \}. \quad (18)$$

The partition function with L_{QML} assumes the form

$$Z = \int D\psi D\psi^+ DM_S D\phi_a \exp L_{QML}. \quad (19)$$

One can integrate over quark fields in (19) yielding the effective chiral Lagrangian L_{ECL}

$$Z = \int DM_S D\phi_a \exp L_{ECL} \quad (20)$$

where it is assumed that L_{ECL} is considered in the nonsinglet channels and therefore the chiral anomaly contribution can be neglected. L_{ECL} has the form

$$L_{ECL} = -2n_f \int d^4x \int d^4y (J(x, y))^{-1} M_S^2(x, y) + N_c \text{tr} \log[(i\hat{\partial} + im_f) \hat{1} + iM_S \hat{U}]. \quad (21)$$

Here tr refers to the flavour, Lorentz indices and coordinates, and $\hat{1}_{fg} = \delta_{fg}$, and the expression in the square brackets in (21) is the $n_f \times n_f$ matrix. To find the minimum of L_{ECL} as a functional of M_S, ϕ_a one obtains the stationary point equations yielding

$$\frac{\delta L_{ECL}}{\delta M_S(x, y)} = -4n_f(J(x, y))^{-1}M_S(x, y) - N_c tr(S_\phi i e^{i\gamma_5 \hat{\phi}}) = 0, \quad (22)$$

$$\frac{\delta L_{ECL}}{\delta \phi_a(x, y)} = N_c tr(S_\phi M_S e^{i\gamma_5 \hat{\phi}} \gamma_5 t_a) = 0, \quad (23)$$

where $S_\phi = -(i\hat{\partial} + im_f)\hat{1} + iM_S\hat{U}]^{-1}$. The solutions of (22), (23) are $\phi_a^{(0)} = 0, M_S = M_S^{(0)}$, where $M_S^{(0)}$ satisfies relation

$$iM_S^{(0)}(x, y) = \frac{N_c}{4} tr(SJ(x, y)) = (\gamma_\mu S \gamma_\nu)_{sc} J_{\mu\nu}(x, y) \quad (24)$$

and the subscript sc implies taking the Lorentz scalar part of the operator and $S \equiv S_\phi(\phi_a = 0)$. Note that eq. (24) coincides with (13) if one neglects in M_S , as we have done before, all terms except scalars.

This remarkable feature demonstrates a completely new meaning of the scalar condensate in QCD: while in nonconfining models like NJL or instanton model the scalar condensate like Higgs condensate is constant everywhere, in QCD the scalar condensate is concentrated in the string - i.e. in $M_S(x, y)$ - and is actually the dominant part of the string itself.

This is of course the consequence of the fact, that in QCD the chiral symmetry breaking is due to confinement, and the effective meson fields are nonzero just where the confining kernel $M_S(x, y)$ is present.

3 The pion mass

In this section it will be proved that the pion is massless as it should be for the Nambu-Goldstone meson in the chiral limit and that for nonzero quark mass one has the Gell-Mann-Oakes-Renner relation [10]. To this end we consider the pionic part of the L_{ECL} (21) and expand it in powers of the pionic field ϕ_a up to the second order.

One has

$$\begin{aligned} -W(\phi) &\equiv N_c tr \log[(i\hat{\partial} + im_f)\hat{1} + iM_S\hat{U}] = \\ &N_c tr \log[(i\hat{\partial} + im_f + iM_S)\hat{1} + \Delta] = \end{aligned}$$

$$N_c \text{tr} \log((i\hat{\partial} + im_f + iM_S)\hat{1}) + N_c \text{tr}[(i\partial + im_f + iM_S)^{-1}\Delta] - \frac{1}{2} N_c \text{tr}[(i\hat{\partial} + im_f + iM_S)^{-1}\Delta(i\hat{\partial} + im_f + iM_S)^{-1}\Delta]. \quad (25)$$

Here $\Delta = (-\hat{\phi}\gamma_5 - \frac{i}{2}\hat{\phi}^2)M_S$, $\hat{\phi} \equiv \phi_a t_a$. One can rewrite the quadratic in ϕ_a terms as

$$W^{(2)}(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4 k'}{(2\pi)^4} \phi_a(k) \hat{N}(k, k') \phi_a(k'). \quad (26)$$

To simplify derivation we shall neglect the isospin violation and nonlocality, replace $m_f \rightarrow \frac{m_u + m_d}{2} \equiv m$, and write $M_S(x, y) \rightarrow M_S(x) \delta^{(4)}(x - y)$, $\phi_a(x, y) \rightarrow \phi_a(x)$. In [4] it was shown that $M_S(x, y)$ indeed has this property at least at large distances, where also $M_S^{(0)}(x) = \sigma|\mathbf{x}|$.

From (26), (25) one obtains

$$N(k, k') = \frac{N_c}{2} \int dx e^{i(k+k')x} \text{tr}(\Lambda M_S)_{xx} + \frac{N_c}{2} \int d^{(4)}(x - y) d^4\left(\frac{x + y}{2}\right) \times e^{\frac{i}{2}(k+k')(x+y) + \frac{i}{2}(k-k')(y-x)} \text{tr}[\Lambda(x, y) M_S(y) \bar{\Lambda}(y, x) M_S(x)] \quad (27)$$

where we have defined

$$\Lambda = (\hat{\partial} + m + M_S)^{-1}, \quad \bar{\Lambda} = (\hat{\partial} - m - M_S)^{-1}. \quad (28)$$

One can use translation invariance of the traces in (27) to rewrite (26) as

$$W^{(2)}(\phi) = \frac{N_c}{2} \int \phi_a(k) \phi_a(-k) \bar{N}(k) \frac{d^{(4)}k}{(2\pi)^4} \quad (29)$$

with

$$\bar{N}(k) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}\{(\Lambda M_S)_0 + \int d^{(4)}z e^{ikz} \Lambda(0, z) M_S(z) \bar{\Lambda}(z, 0) M_S(0)\}. \quad (30)$$

The pion mass is proportional to $\bar{N}(0)$, which can be written after some algebraic manipulations as (see Appendix 3 for details of derivation).

$$\bar{N}(0) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\Lambda M_S \bar{\Lambda}(\hat{\partial} - m)) = \frac{m}{4} \text{tr}(\Lambda - \bar{\Lambda}) = \frac{1}{2} m \text{tr} \Lambda + O(m^2). \quad (31)$$

Note here that in the chiral limit $m \rightarrow 0$, one has $m_\pi^2 \sim N(0, 0) = 0$. In all calculations resulting in (30), (31) the use is done of the reflection symmetry, which allows to replace $(-\hat{\partial})$ by $(\hat{\partial})$. A similar calculation was done earlier

in [11] where instantons have been used to create the four-quark operators in the background of gluon fields, but the phenomenon of the CSB due to confinement was absent.

Since the quark condensate as defined in the Minkowskian space-time $\langle\psi\bar\psi\rangle_M$ is connected to $tr\Lambda$ as

$$\langle\psi\bar\psi\rangle_M = i\langle\psi\psi^+\rangle_E = iN_c tr S(x, y) = -N_c tr \Lambda \quad (32)$$

one has for the pion mass with the usual normalization $\phi_a = \frac{2\pi a}{f_\pi}$, $f_\pi = 93 MeV$,

$$2m_\pi^2 f_\pi^2 = (m_u + m_d)|\langle\psi\bar\psi\rangle_M| = (m_u + m_d)|\langle\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d\rangle| \quad (33)$$

which in the standard Gell-Mann-Oakes-Renner relation [10].

4 The pion Green's function

To obtain the pion Green's function one can use the partition function (19) to calculate the correlator of the PS currents $J_a^{(5)}(x) = \psi^+(x)\gamma_5 t_a \psi(x)$,

$$G_{ab}(x, y) = \frac{1}{Z} \int D\psi D\psi^+ DM_S D\phi \exp(L_{QML}^{(2)}) J_a^{(5)}(x) J_b^{(5)}(y). \quad (34)$$

Integrating over $D\psi D\psi^+$ one gets the standard expression

$$\begin{aligned} G_{ab}(x, y) = \frac{1}{Z} \int DM_S D\phi e^{L_{ECL}^{(2)}} \{Tr[S_\phi(x, y)\gamma_5 t_b S_\phi(y, x)\gamma_5 t_a] - \\ - Tr(S_\phi(x, x)\gamma_5 t_a) Tr(S_\phi(y, y)\gamma_5 t_b)\} \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

where $S(x, y)$ is defined in (23) and depends on M_S and ϕ_a . Our following discussion is similar in some respect to the line of reasoning in [11], however instantons are omitted in (35) since the CSB occurs due to confinement, as it is demonstrated above explicitly by Eq. (24).

Eq. (35) contains two terms with one and two trace operators in the curly brackets, which can be called the connected and the disconnected terms respectively. The integration over DM_S can be done using the stationary condition (24), while for the integration in $D\phi$ one expands $L_{ECL}^{(2)}$ in ϕ_a around the stationary point $\phi_a^{(0)} = 0$ keeping the second order terms. In

what follows M_S will be replaced by the stationary point value $M_S^{(0)}$ without changing notation. Using now the expansion for S_ϕ

$$S_\phi(x, y) = S(x, y) + S(x, z)M_S\gamma_5\hat{\phi}(z)S(z, y) + O(\phi^2) \quad (36)$$

and noticing that $\phi_a = 2\pi_a/f_\pi$ and $f_\pi = O(N_c^{1/2})$, one can deduce that the emission or absorption of the pion contains a factor $N_c^{-1/2}$, or in other words, the coupling constant $g_{\pi q\bar{q}} = O(N_c^{-1/2})$. Therefore in the large N_c limit one should take into account the lowest number of pion exchanges and we shall neglect pion fields in the connected term in (35), replacing S_ϕ by $S \equiv S_\phi(\phi = 0)$, and keeping only the first order term (36) in the disconnected term of (35). Integrating now over $D\phi$, one obtains from both terms the contribution, proportional to N_c

$$G_{ab}(x, y) = N_c G_{ab}^{(0)}(x, y) - \frac{N_c^2}{f_\pi^2} G_{ac}^{(M)}(x, z) G_\pi^{(0)}(z, u) G_{cb}^{(M)}(u, y) \quad (37)$$

where we have defined

$$G_{ab}^{(0)}(x, y) \equiv \frac{1}{2} G^{(0)} \delta_{ab} \equiv \text{tr}[S(x, y) \gamma_5 t_b S(y, x) \gamma_5 t_a] \quad (38)$$

$$G_{ab}^{(M)}(x, y) \equiv \frac{1}{2} G^{(M)} \delta_{ab} \equiv \text{tr}[\gamma_5 t_a S(x, y) M_S \gamma_5 t_b S(y, x)] \quad (39)$$

$$G_\pi^{(0)}(x, y) = \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{e^{ik(x-y)} f_\pi^2}{N_c \bar{N}(k)}, \quad (40)$$

and the sign tr implies Lorentz, flavour and coordinate summation (integration).

Therefore the total Green's function looks like

$$G(x, y) = N_c \{ G^{(0)}(x, y) - \frac{N_c}{2f_\pi^2} G^{(M)}(x, z) G_\pi^{(0)}(z, u) G^{(M)}(u, y) \}. \quad (41)$$

Using (30) and going over to the momentum space one has

$$G(k) = N_c \{ G^{(0)}(k) - \frac{G^{(M)}(k) \cdot G^{(M)}(k)}{G^{(MM)}(k) + \text{tr}(\Lambda M_S)} \}. \quad (42)$$

Here $G^{(MM)}(k) \equiv \text{tr}(S M_S \gamma_5 S M_S \gamma_5)_k$, where the subscript k implies the Fourier transform which according to (31) is

$$\bar{N}(k) = \frac{1}{2} (G^{(MM)}(k) + \text{tr}(\Lambda M_S)) = (m_\pi^2 + k^2) \frac{f_\pi^2}{4N_c} + O(k^4). \quad (43)$$

Therefore (42) contains the pion pole in the second term on the r.h.s. of (42).

The question arises what happens with the poles of $G^{(0)}(k)$. Actually here appears the lowest pole in the PS channel, which one can call the Quark Model (QM) pion, usually situated around 400 MeV. This pole is present in all three Green's functions $G^{(0)}(k)$, $G^{(M)}(k)$ and $G^{(MM)}(k)$, and if one contracts all M_S factors in the numerator and denominator of (42), one can easily see that the QM pole is cancelled between the first and the second term in the curly brackets.

To make this cancellation more transparent one can again use the large N_c argument and to represent $G^{(0)}(k)$, $G^{(M)}(k)$ and $G^{(MM)}(k)$ as series of poles, e.g.

$$G^{(0)}(k) = - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_n^2}{k^2 + m_n^2}, \quad G^{(M)}(k) = - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_n c_n^{(M)}}{k^2 + m_n^2}, \quad G^{(MM)}(k) = - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(c_n^{(M)})^2}{k^2 + m_n^2} \quad (44)$$

where $c_n^{(M)}$ differs from c_n by the presence of the operator M inside the matrix element, at the initial or final point of the Green's function, e.g. for $G^{(MM)}(k)$

$$G^{(MM)}(k) = \int tr(\gamma_5 M_S(x) S(x, y) \gamma_5 M_S(y) S(y, x)) e^{ik(x-y)} d(x-y). \quad (45)$$

The presence of the scalar quasilocal in time operator $M_S(x)$ cannot change the spectrum of bound states in $G^{(M)}(k)$ and $G^{(MM)}(k)$ as compared to $G^{(0)}(k)$ where operators $M_S(x)$ are absent, and therefore all three functions should have the same set of poles.

Now the vanishing of $\bar{N}(0)$ for $m = 0$ implies that in the chiral limit one can write the denominator in (42) as

$$G^{(MM)}(k) + tr \Lambda M_S = G^{(MM)}(k) - G^{(MM)}(0) = k^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(c_n^{(M)})^2}{(m_n^2 + k^2) m_n^2}. \quad (46)$$

Hence the total Green's function can be written as (in the chiral limit, $m \rightarrow 0$).

$$G(k) = \frac{\Psi(k)}{k^2 \Phi(k)}, \quad (47)$$

where

$$\Psi(k) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_n}{k^2 + m_n^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{[c_n (c_k^{(M)})^2 \frac{k^2}{m_k^2} + c_n^{(M)} c_k c_k^{(M)}]}{k^2 + m_k^2}, \quad (48)$$

$$\Phi(k) = - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(c_n^M)^2}{m_n^2(k^2 + m_n^2)}. \quad (49)$$

From the structure of (47) one can easily see, that double poles at $k^2 = -m_n^2$ are cancelled in $\Psi(k)$, so that only simple poles are retained, which are compensated by the same poles of $\Phi(k)$, so that the ratio $\Psi(k)/\Phi(k)$ does not have poles at $k^2 = -m_n^2, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Clearly $G(k)$ has a pole at $k^2 = 0$, which is the expected pion pole of the chiral limit, which is shifted to the position $k^2 = -m_\pi^2$, with m_π^2 defined in (33). Now the question arises where appears the next pole in $G(k)$.

To this end one can take into account the properties of the hadron spectrum in the linearly confining vacuum, found in the vector channel in [12], and in general in [5, 13, 14], which are obtained without chiral effects, i.e. exactly pertinent to $m_n, c_n^{(M)}$. It was found that the spectrum of radially excited mesons in the large N_c limit satisfies approximate relation

$$m_n^2 \simeq 4\pi\sigma n + \Delta m, \quad \Delta m(J^{PC} = 0^{-+}) \simeq 0.4\text{GeV} \quad (50)$$

while $c_n^{(M)}$ is roughly independent of n . The latter is due to the fact that $c_n^{(M)} \sim \psi_n(\mathbf{r} = 0)$ and for the linear interaction $\psi_n(0)$ does not depend on n .

Using these properties one can find zeros of $\Phi(k)$ in the k^2 plane $k^2 = (k^{(0)})^2$, which correspond to the poles in $G(k)$. One has from (49)

$$(k^{(0)})^2 = -m_1^2(1 - \delta_1), \quad -m_2^2(1 - \delta_2), \dots -m_n^2(1 - \delta_n) \dots \quad (51)$$

where the chiral shifts of the levels are: $\delta_1 \simeq \frac{c_1^2 m_0^2 (m_1^2 - m_0^2)}{m_1^2 (c_0^2 m_1^2 + c_1^2 m_0^2)}$, $\delta_2 \simeq O(m_0^2)$, etc. Hence the first excited pion pole is close to the position of the first excited pole obtained in the quark model approach without taking into account chiral effects. The same is true for higher excited states. It is interesting that the ground QM state with mass $m_0 \approx 0.4$ GeV disappears from the total spectrum of the full Green's function $G(k)$, and is replaced by the correct chiral-generated pole at m_π given in (33). This is exactly what one should expect on physical grounds and it is rewarding that our simple equation (42) reproduces this physically reasonable result.

The calculation of the radially excited states in the framework of the QCD string approach was recently done in [14]. For the first radially excited state the $1/N_c$ corrections are not large and the spin-averaged mass was found to be $\bar{M}_{av} = 1.45$ GeV with $\sigma = 0.18$ GeV² (the only input). The pion mass is shifted downwards by roughly 0.105 GeV due to hyperfine interaction

and the resulting theoretical pion mass m_1 is around 1.35 GeV, which after subtraction of the chiral shift δ_1 exactly coincides with the experimental mass value of $\pi(1300)$.

5 Discussion: photon and pion selfenergy operators

The pion Green's function

$$G_\pi^{(0)}(k) \sim \frac{1}{N(k)} \sim \frac{1}{G^{(MM)}(k) - G^{(MM)}(0)} \quad (52)$$

which is obtained from the effective Lagrangian L_{ECL} , Eq. (21), contains an infinite number of poles in the denominator due to the self-energy operator, the role of the latter being played by $G^{(MM)}(k)$. These poles are due to confinement only while chiral effects (pion emission and absorption) are switched off in $G^{(MM)}(k)$ (hence the superscript (0)).

Now by construction – and this is the consequence of the spontaneous CSB, in the pion Green's function only the difference $G^{(MM)}(k) - G^{(MM)}(0)$ enters in the denominator which is $O(k^2)$ in the chiral limit ($m = 0$) for $k \rightarrow 0$.

The situation here is similar to the case of the photon propagator with the self-energy operator due to the hadron intermediate states, as it enters in the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$. Indeed the full transverse photon Green's function $D(k^2)$ can be written as

$$D(k^2) = \frac{4\pi}{k^2 - \mathcal{P}(k^2)}, \quad \mathcal{P}_{\mu\nu}(k) = (g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{k_\mu k_\nu}{k^2})\mathcal{P}(k^2) \quad (53)$$

with the renormalization conditions $\mathcal{P}(k^2 = 0) = \mathcal{P}'(k^2 = 0) = 0$. $\mathcal{P}(k^2)$ is connected to the vacuum polarization operator $\Pi(k^2)$ via $\mathcal{P}(k^2) \sim e^2 k^2 \Pi(k^2)$, and $Jm\Pi(k^2)$ is proportional to the hadronic ratio $R(k^2)$ of the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$.

Using the same reasoning as in [12], one can express for large N_c the operator $\Pi(k^2)$ as a sum over hadronic poles - radial excitations in the vector channel with masses $m_n(v)$, $\Pi(k^2) = \sum \frac{a_n}{k^2 + m_n^2(v)}$. As a result, the structure of the photon Green's function (53) becomes similar to that of the pion Green's function (52): an infinite sum of poles in the denominator renormalized by

subtractions to vanish for $k = 0$. The latter condition comes from the spontaneous CSB (the NG theorem) in case of the pion and from gauge invariance in case of the photon. It seems that one could use the same Eq. (42) for both cases with similar conclusions for the spectrum.

However at this point the similarity stops. Indeed, in case of the photon, the mixing between photon and vector meson states is governed by $\alpha = \frac{1}{137}$ and is small, and the number of states does not change, it consists of all vector meson states plus photon. In this case the vector meson states are shifted only slightly as can be seen from (53) yielding condition for poles; $\Pi(k^2) = \frac{const}{e^2}$ and as a result $k_n^2 = (k_n^{(0)})^2 + d_n e^2$.

In case of the pion, the situation is different; the pion and hadron resonances in the self-energy part are not coupled by a small coupling constant, but rather enter in the denominator with the large coefficient, such that it exactly cancels the lowest hadron state trading it for the chiral pion. Therefore the total spectrum consists of the almost massless pion and all its radial excitations, while the lowest nonchiral state disappears from the spectrum. This is rewarding since the chiral pion is made of the same $\bar{q}q$ degrees of freedom as the nonchiral states, and the number of states should not increase because part of states is treated differently.

This is not true for the photon, since the photon has a different nature and origin as compared to hadron states in $\Pi(k^2)$.

6 Conclusions and outlook

The purpose of this paper is twofold. First of all, it is necessary to understand for the system of light quarks how confinement spontaneously provides the chiral symmetry breaking. For the heavy-light system this was first shown in [4] and developed making use of Green's functions and Dirac formalism. Later in [3] the same connection between confinement and CSB was established using the familiar bosonization technic, but again for the heavy-light systems. In the present paper the bosonization and the resulting effective chiral Lagrangian is obtained for the light-light systems in Eqs. (18) -(21). It is remarkable, that the stationary point equations (22), (23) yield a non-linear equation for the mass operator (24), with the self-consistent solution (discussed already in [4]) containing the scalar string between quark and antiquark. This is similar to the NJL and the instanton models where in absence of confinement also the stationary point solution brings about the

scalar condensate - and this appears spontaneously implying CSB. The difference is that in case of confinement this scalar condensate resides not in all space but in strings and also gives CSB.

Another important purpose of the paper is to clarify the problem of the pion mass: while for all other mesons the QCD string approach and also the constituent quark model give a reasonable description of the spectrum, the spectrum of pions (and also of kaons and η, η') is strikingly different, with the very large mass gap between $\pi(139)$ and $\pi(1300)$. In the present paper we have derived the total Green's function in the PS channel, containing both chiral and confining properties. It was shown for the first time that the effective chiral Lagrangian derived directly from the QCD Lagrangian produces the pion mass satisfying the Gell-Mann-Oakes-Renner relation. Moreover it was demonstrated that poles of the total Green's function correspond exactly to the physical picture: namely, the lowest QM pole m_0^2 moves into the pseudo-Nambu-Goldstone position, while all the higher poles shift only slightly reproducing the experimental picture.

For simplicity reasons only pion was considered above. It is not difficult to extend the formalism to $SU(3)$ and to treat η and kaons, and the pion and kaon Regge-trajectories. The η' case includes the chiral anomaly and connection to the gluonic (glueball) channel and this study is planned for the future.

Another part of the work, which is still remains not done is the study of the pion wave function and of the role of confinement in its dynamics.

The author is grateful for useful remarks to S.M.Fedorov and N.O.Agasian. This work was done with the support of the grants RFBR – 00-15-96786, INTAS 00-00110 and INTAS 00-00366.

Appendix 1

The gauge-invariant form of (8), (10) obtains by insertion of the parallel transporters $\Phi_C(z, x_0)$ to all ψ 's in (8) and replacing $F(z)$ by $\Phi_C(x_0, z)F(z)\Phi_C(z, x_0)$. The resulting effective $4q$ Lagrangian (8) will depend on the shape of the contour C . It is clear that the whole sum of all-order correlators, $\sum_{n=4,6,\dots}^{\infty} L_{eff}^{(n)} \equiv L_{eff}$ does not depend on the shape of C . So with L_{eff} one can choose any contour $C(x)$ and in particular to select a contour $C(x)$ in such a way as to produce the minimal area surface for the world-sheet of the string, or in the Lagrangian formalism - to form the string (contour) of minimal length between the quark at one end and antiquark on another end of the string.

For this minimal string (minimal area surface) it is legitimate to drop all terms in L_{eff} except $L_{eff}^{(4)}$, since the difference (for the minimal area), is expected to be of the order of 1%, according to [7, 8].

A similar problem occurs in the cluster expansion of Wilson loop, when one keeps only lowest correlators, leading to the (erroneous) surface dependence of the result. Note that only for the minimal area surface higher correlators are negligible. Therefore the Gaussian approximation is valid when the minimal area surface is fixed beforehand. Situation here is the same as with the sum of QCD perturbation series, which depends on the normalization mass μ for any finite number of terms in the series. This unphysical dependence is usually treated by fixing μ at some physically reasonable value μ_0 (of the order of the inverse size of the system).

Similarly, the physical choice of the contour corresponds to the minimization of the meson (baryon) mass over the class of strings, generated by contours C in the same way as the choice of $\mu = \mu_0$ corresponds to the minimization of the dropped higher perturbative terms.

As a practical outcome, we shall keep the set of contours C till the end and finally use it to minimize the string between the quarks.

Appendix 2

Derivation of the effective quark-meson Lagrangian $L_{QML}^{(2)}$

We start with the white quark bilinears, as in [3]

$$\Psi_{a\varepsilon}^{fg}(x, y) \equiv {}^f\psi_{a\alpha}^+(x) {}^g\psi_{a\varepsilon}(y) = {}^f\psi_{a'\alpha}^+(x)\Phi_{a'a}(x, Y)\Phi_{ac}(Y, y) {}^g\psi_{c\varepsilon}(y) \quad (\text{A.1})$$

and introduce the isospin generators $t_{fg}^{(n)}$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{n_f^2-1} t_{fg}^{(n)} t_{ij}^{(n)} = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{fj} \delta_{gi}; t^{(0)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n_f}} \hat{1}, \quad \text{tr}(t^{(n)} t^{(k)}) = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{nk}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Hence the bilinears in (8) can be written as

$$\Psi_{\alpha\varepsilon}^{fg}(x, y) \Psi_{\gamma\beta}^{gf}(y, x) = 2 \sum_{n=0}^{n_f^2-1} \Psi_{\alpha\varepsilon}^{(n)}(x, y) \Psi_{\gamma\beta}^{(n)}(y, x) \quad (\text{A.3})$$

where we have defined

$$\Psi_{\alpha\varepsilon}^{(n)}(x, y) \equiv {}^f\psi_{a\alpha}^+(x) t_{fg}^{(n)} {}^g\psi_{a\varepsilon}(y). \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Now one can use the Fierz transformation for the combination $\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu$ (see Appendix 3 of [3])

$$(\gamma^\mu)_{\alpha\beta} (\gamma^\nu)_{\gamma\delta} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_A \Delta_A (\gamma^\mu \gamma_A \gamma^\nu)_{\alpha\delta} (\gamma_A)_{\gamma\beta} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

where $\Delta_A = -1$ for $\gamma_A = \gamma_5 \gamma_\mu$ and $\Delta_A = 1$ otherwise. We shall be interested here only in scalar and pseudoscalar combinations on the r.h.s. of (A.5), hence one can write

$$(\gamma^\mu)_{\alpha\beta} (\gamma^\nu)_{\gamma\delta} = \frac{1}{4} \delta_{\mu\nu} \{1_{\alpha\delta} 1_{\gamma\beta} + (i\gamma_5)_{\alpha\delta} (i\gamma_5)_{\gamma\beta}\} + \dots \equiv \frac{1}{4} \delta_{\mu\nu} \{O_{\alpha\delta}^{(S)} O_{\gamma\beta}^{(S)} + O_{\alpha\delta}^{(PS)} O_{\gamma\beta}^{(PS)}\} \dots \quad (\text{A.6})$$

where ellipsis in (A.6) and in what follows implies contribution of all other combinations. As the result one has for $L_{eff}^{(4)}$, Eq. (8)

$$L_{eff}^{(4)} = - \int d^4x \int d^4y \{ \Psi^{(n,S)}(x, y) \Psi^{(n,S)}(y, x) + \Psi^{(n,PS)}(x, y) \Psi^{(n,PS)}(y, x) \} J(x, y) + \dots \quad (\text{A.7})$$

where $J(x, y) \equiv \frac{1}{N_c} J_{\mu\mu}(x, y)$ and

$$\Psi^{(n,S),(n,PS)}(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \Psi_{\alpha\beta}^{(n)} (1_{\alpha\beta}, (i\gamma_5)_{\alpha\beta}). \quad (\text{A.8})$$

Now the Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation is written symbolically through effective nonlocal bosonic fields $\chi^{(n,S)}(x, y), \chi^{(n,PS)}(x, y)$ as follows

$$e^{-\Psi \bar{J} \Psi} = \int (\det J)^{1/2} D\chi \exp(-\chi J \chi + i\Psi J \chi + i\chi J \Psi) \quad (\text{A.9})$$

and the partition function assumes the form

$$Z = \int D\psi D\psi^+ D\chi \exp L_{QML} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

where the effective quark-meson Lagrangian is

$$\begin{aligned} L_{QML}^{(2)} = & \int d^4x \int d^4y \{ {}^f\psi_{a\alpha}^+(x) [(i\hat{\partial} + im_f)_{\alpha\beta} \delta_{fg} \delta^{(4)}(x-y) + iM_{\alpha\beta}^{(fg)}(x, y)] {}^g\psi_{a\beta}(y) - \\ & - \sum_{k=S,PS} \chi^{(n,k)}(x, y) J(x, y) \chi^{(n,k)}(y, x) \} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.11})$$

and the effective quark-mass operator is

$$M_{\alpha\beta}^{(fg)}(x, y) = \sum_{n=0, \dots, n_f^2-1, k=S,PS} \chi^{(n,k)}(x, y) O_{\alpha\beta}^{(k)} t_{fg}^{(n)} J(x, y). \quad (\text{A.12})$$

Appendix 3

Derivation of the GOR relation(33)

We start with the definition (30) which can be rewritten identically as

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{N}(0) &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} [\Lambda M_S \bar{\Lambda} (\hat{\partial} - m - M_S) + \Lambda M_S \bar{\Lambda} M_S] = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} [\Lambda M_S \bar{\Lambda} (\hat{\partial} - m)]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.13})$$

Inserting for the factor M_S in the last equality of (A.13) the expression

$$M_S = \frac{1}{2} (\Lambda^{-1} - \bar{\Lambda}^{-1} - 2m), \quad (\text{A.14})$$

one immediately obtains

$$\bar{N}(0) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} (A + B + C), \quad (\text{A.15})$$

where

$$A \equiv \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\Lambda} - \Lambda) \hat{\partial}, \quad B \equiv \frac{m}{2} (\Lambda - \bar{\Lambda}), \quad C = -m \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} (\hat{\partial} - m).$$

It is easy to see, that A vanishes since it is odd with respect to the space-time reflection, and C is $O(m^2)$. The term B yields the answer given in Eq.(31).

References

- [1] Y.Nambu and G.Jona-Lasinio, Phys. Rev. **122**,345 (1961);
S.P.Klevansky, Rev. Mod. Phys. **64**, 650 (1992).
- [2] D.Diakonov and V.Petrov, Nucl. Phys. **B272**, 457 (1986); for a review
and earlier refs. see Th.Shafer and E.V.Shuryak, Rev. Mod. Phys. **70**,
323 (1998).
- [3] Yu.A.Simonov, Phys. Rev. **D65**, 094018 (2002), hep-ph/0201170.
- [4] Yu.A.Simonov, Phys. Atom. Nucl **60**, 2069 (1997), hep-ph/9704301;
Yu.A.Simonov and J.A.Tjon, Phys. Rev. **D 62**, 014501 (2000), ibid **D62**,
094511 (2000).
- [5] Yu.A.Simonov, QCD and Topics in Hadron Physics, Lectures at the
XVII International School of Physics, Lisbon, 29 September -4 October,
hep-ph/9911237
- [6] V.I.Shevchenko and Yu.A.Simonov, Phys. Lett. **B437**, 146 (1998)
L.Lukaszuk, E.Leader and A.Johansen, Nucl. Phys. **B562**, 291 (1999)
original formulaton see in S.V.Ivanov, G.P.Korchemsky, Phys. Lett.
B154, 197 (1989)
S.V.Ivanov, G.P.Korchemsky, A.V. Radyshkin, Sov J. Nucl. Phys. **44**,
145 (1986).
- [7] V.I.Shevchenko and Yu.A.Simonov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 1811 (2000),
hep-ph/0001299, hep-ph/0104135
- [8] G.S.Bali, Phys. Rev. **D 62**, 114503 (2000);
S.Deldar, Phys. Rev. **D 62**, 034509 (2000).
- [9] L.Del Debbio, A. Di Giacomo and Yu.A.Simonov, Phys. Lett. **B332**,
111 (1994);
D.S.Kuzmenko and Yu.A.Simonov, Phys. Lett. **B494**, 81 (2000).
- [10] M.Gell-Mann, R.L.Oakes and B.Renner, Phys. Rev. **175**, 2195 (1968);
S.L.Glashow and S.Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **20**, 224 (1968).
- [11] Yu.A.Simonov, Yad. Fiz. **57**, 1491 (1994)
- [12] A.M.Badalian and Yu.A.Simonov, Phys. Atom. Nucl. **60**, 630 (1997).

- [13] A.M.Badalian and B.L.G.Bakker, Phys. Rev. **D66** 034025 (2002)
- [14] A.M.Badalian, B.L.G.Bakker and Yu.A.Simonov, Phys. Rev. **D66** 034026 (2002) hep-ph/0204088